

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/578,363		WALLNER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jeffrey E. Russel		1654	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey E. Russel.

(2) Maria A. Trevisan.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

56, 59, 63

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Jeffrey Edwin Russel
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1654

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: See examiner's amendment. Applicants informed examiner that a supplemental IDS will be filed. The examiner located copies of the two foreign patent references and has considered them, and will cite them on an accompanying PTO-892/Notice Of References..